

Solvent-induced organization: A physical model of folding myoglobin

David J.E. Callaway*
Department of Physics
The Rockefeller University
1230 York Avenue
New York, NY 10021-6399
USA
callaway@physics.rockefeller.edu

Abstract

The essential features of the *in vitro* refolding of myoglobin are expressed in a solvable physical model. Alpha helices are taken as the fundamental collective coordinates of the system, while the refolding is assumed to be mainly driven by solvent-induced hydrophobic forces. A quantitative model of these forces is developed and compared with experimental and theoretical results. The model is then tested by being employed in a simulation scheme designed to mimic solvent effects. Realistic dynamic trajectories of myoglobin are shown as it folds from an extended conformation to a close approximation of the native state. Various suggestive features of the process are discussed. The tenets of the model are further tested by folding the single-chain plant protein leghemoglobin.

*Work supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under Grant No. DOE-91ER40651 Task B.

**Solvent-induced organization:
A physical model of folding myoglobin**

1. Introduction

a. Synopsis

It is generally assumed that the native conformation of a protein is given by the structure which yields the global minimum of the free energy ^[1]. However, it is less clear how a protein reaches this minimum. Simple counting arguments ^[2] suggest that observed folding times, typically 1-100 seconds, are far too short to allow a global examination of all available states. (This puzzle is often referred to as the “Levinthal paradox”). Thus, there are likely to be physical principles which determine the way in which a protein folds. Knowledge of these principles should be of some utility in developing tools for predicting protein folding.

A major stumbling block on the road to developing such tools is the general absence of computationally tractable models wherein a protein folds in a realistic fashion. One candidate, a model of the large-scale *in vitro* folding of myoglobin, is presented here. Two major assumptions about the folding of myoglobin are made in this work and are discussed in detail below. First, it is assumed that the alpha helices which comprise myoglobin are nascent at an early stage of folding, and that the interactions between these larger subunits determine the tertiary structure of this protein. The second major assumption is that solvent effects (the “hydrophobic” interaction) are largely responsible for this tertiary structure.

A quantitative model of hydrophobic forces is developed below, using input from experimental data. It will be seen that the model also agrees with microscopic models of effective hydrophobic interactions. Molecules of the solvent water are included only implicitly in the calculation. This is in keeping with the reductionist philosophy employed here, wherein the complexity of the system is reduced by identifying and including only the important degrees of freedom in the system. Rather than trying to fold a protein as precisely as possible, the object here is to develop a tractable model, based upon sound physical principles, which quickly allows one to determine global folding patterns to “sketchbook” accuracy. Uncertainties due to reparameterization of the potential function are, accordingly, kept to a minimum.

More generic potential functions could, of course, be included in the present calculation to produce a more refined structure. Such a generic approach, however, runs the risk of losing contact with the physical principles which underly protein folding. This risk is lessened substantially if, rather than postulating an intricate potential function and, subsequently, determining its parameters by fitting to a large data set, one instead develops an explicit physical model for the relevant interaction. By using realistic interaction potentials, the fundamental laws which govern various aspects of protein folding can be extracted systematically. Additionally, by refining the model through the elimination of nonessential interactions, the computer time required to solve it is substantially reduced. An extensive search of configuration space then becomes possible, and the refined model then provides an excellent laboratory for the testing and improvement of protein folding algorithms.

Following the specification of the model, it will be solved using an approach based upon the Langevin equation. This formalism is particularly well-suited for approximating solvent effects, for it describes a system interacting with a medium which provides random thermal fluctuations. When the Langevin equations are integrated, the result is a representative trajectory of the protein as it folds toward its most probable configuration, the state of minimal free energy. A typical trajectory will be shown as a time series of states leading to this minimum. The resultant state of minimum free energy forms a reasonable approximation to the native conformation. The model is applied to two very distinct proteins, sperm-whale myoglobin and leghemoglobin. Despite the fact that these proteins differ greatly in sequence and biological origin, they both possess a similar topology (often called a “globin fold”). The existence of the globin fold and the topology of the two proteins is accurately predicted by the model.

b. Myoglobin – an overview.

It has often been asserted that the tertiary structure of myoglobin is a direct consequence of attractive interactions between groups of hydrophobic residues on its constituent alpha helices. This assumption led to an early derivation of the structure of myoglobin ^[3] by counting the number of dehydrated regions for various configurations of a simple geometrical model. Subsequent work ^[4] was based upon the assumption that helices pair at specific hydrophobic interaction sites with certain helix axis angles. This assumption reduces the allowed configuration space considerably. An initial set of 3×10^8 structures is ultimately reduced to 20, one of which clearly resembles myoglobin. Important later work ^[5] posits a specific form for the hydrophobic interaction between amino acid residues, which are approximated as spheres of van der Waals radius. The force used is unphysically strong and long-ranged and is the same between all hydrophobic residues. A minimization scheme is used to find the configuration of minimum energy, which is similar to native myoglobin.

Inspired by these successes, a physical model of myoglobin is developed below and then solved by a Langevin scheme designed to mimic solvent effects. The model is intended to be part of a larger philosophy which involves three stages of analysis. In the first stage, a tentative identification of regions of secondary structure is made. The second stage involves large-scale movement of the tentatively assigned secondary structures, which are taken as the fundamental degrees of freedom. The third stage is a final “polishing” of the structure, using a detailed model of protein dynamics (e.g., ^[6]). In principle, this final stage employs a complete set of realistic interactions and involves all degrees of freedom in the model. Due to the limitations of present computational architecture, only small perturbations on the structures generated by the large-scale global optimization of the second stage can, therefore, be completed. The first and third stages are likely to be generally possible in the near future; the second stage is contemplated here.

Intrinsic to this approach is the idea that the folding process can be described in terms of “effective” interactions between fundamental units which are larger than atoms but smaller than the entire protein ^[65,66]. One way this could occur is via the collision and coalescence of unstable microdomains, each of which folds and unfolds rapidly (the “diffusion-collision” model ^[7]). The existence of a stable folding intermediate is, therefore, not necessary for the present approach to be valid. Indeed, extensive experimental work on refolding myoglobin ^[8–11] generally finds no evidence

of a such a folding intermediate at normal (25-27°C) temperatures. (At -6°C and 50°C, however, folding intermediates in apomyoglobin have been reported [12,13].)

2. Methods.

a. Quantitative model of “effective” hydrophobic forces.

The hydrophobic interaction is certainly a major component of protein folding [14]. Moreover, the above-described successes of models [3–5] for folding myoglobin suggest that it is the dominant force between alpha helices in this protein. A quantitative physical model for this force is now developed.

At normal temperatures, the hydrophobic interaction is generally believed to be a solvent-induced entropic effect [15]. Hydrophobic forces are generated by the spatial variation of the solvent free energy and not the enthalpy alone (as with, say, van der Waals forces). These hydrophobic forces are here considered “effective” in the sense that explicit solvent molecules are not included in their calculation.

It is widely assumed that the “hydrophobic effect” occurs because nonpolar solutes do not participate significantly in hydrogen bonding. The presence of these nonpolar solutes in a polar liquid such as water thus disturbs the local hydrogen bond structure and leads to an attractive effective interaction between the nonpolar portions of the solute molecules. The hydrophobic effect is usually taken to be proportional to the solvent-accessible surface area of the nonpolar molecules.

Such a concept is difficult to employ directly within a numerical simulation, for these calculations rely upon knowing the interactions between point particles, rather than between surface areas. Since the presence of carbon atoms is generally the defining feature of nonpolar solutes, it is therefore sensible to define the carbon atoms in hydrophobic residues as the centers of the hydrophobic interaction. The consistency of this approach can be tested simply by plotting the transfer free energy of hydrophobic amino acids between polar and nonpolar solvents *as a function of the number of side-chain carbon atoms*. If the hydrophobic effect is essentially produced by carbon atoms, and if, in addition, all carbon atoms in hydrophobic residues contribute roughly equally, a linear plot will result. This result is also implied if the carbon atoms are taken as hard spheres of excluded volume which interact with water independently of one another.

A canonical example is shown in Fig. 1. In his classic analysis of the hydrophobic effect, Chothia ^[16] plotted transfer data of Nozaki and Tanford ^[17] versus the accessible surface area. The data could be described by two lines, for nonpolar and somewhat polar residues, respectively. By contrast, a plot of the same data versus the number of side-chain carbon atoms suggests a fit to a *single* line.

Other hydrophobicity data ^[18–21] were also analysed in this fashion. It was found that the data were reasonably described by a linear fit to *either* the accessible area *or* the number of side-chain carbon atoms. For long alkane chains, the two descriptions are essentially identical. The slope of the linear fit of free energy versus number of side-chain carbons, ΔV , ranges from 300 cal/mol-carbon to 700 cal/mol-carbon, depending upon the specific points used and the estimated errors. In this work, the midpoint value

$$\Delta V = 500 \text{ cal/mol} \cdot \text{carbon} \tag{1}$$

is used.

Experimental work on the hydrophobic force suggests that it decays exponentially with distance. For example, in their ground-breaking study Israelachvili and Pashley ^[22] reported an exponential force with a decay length of 10 Å. (This value for the decay length was subsequently employed in the folding study of myoglobin by Saito et.al. described above ^[5].) It is important to note, however, that this experiment never directly observed an attractive force. Instead, a theoretical result was subtracted from the observed repulsion to estimate the attractive hydrophobic effect. Later experimental work ^[23–24] reported decay lengths of 20 to 160 Å. A critical discussion of this work was given by Podgornik and Parsegian ^[25].

It seems unlikely that an interaction whose decay length is of the order of 100 Å could be relevant for folding myoglobin, which is essentially an oblate spheroid, 44 x 44 x 25 Å ^[26]. A sensible question to ask is what the appropriate decay length should be for the hydrophobic interaction which folds myoglobin. Presumably, the hydrophobic effect derives from the local disruption of a partially-ordered water structure. An estimate of the decay length should then be possible from the properties of liquid water alone ^[27–31]. The average distance between molecules in ice is 2.8 Å, which also approximates the location of the first peak in the radial distribution function $g(r)$ for liquid water. Estimates ^[32] of the thickness of the hydration shell around

typical proteins also yield 2.8 Å. Moreover, solvent-accessible area of nonpolar solutes is typically determined ^[21] by using a sphere of diameter 2.8 Å. It is, therefore, reasonable to take the value $\lambda = 2.8$ Å assumed here for the decay length of the hydrophobic force.

For the reasons discussed above, carbon atoms in hydrophobic residues are taken as the centers of the hydrophobic interaction. Two carbon atoms in separate hydrophobic residues will then contribute an amount

$$V_H(r) = V_H(0)\exp(-r/\lambda) \quad (2)$$

to the free energy. Here, r represents the distance between the carbon atoms. It remains to specify the interaction strength $V_H(0)$. Recall from Eq. (1) that the typical free energy of transfer of a hydrophobic carbon from a nonpolar solvent to a polar solvent was estimated as $\Delta V = 500$ cal/mol. This may also be taken as the amount by which the free energy decreases as a carbon atom approaches a hydrophobic center.

The parameter $V_H(0)$ can then be fixed as follows: The Lennard-Jones interaction between two atoms can be specified in terms of its value E_m at its minimum r_m

$$V_{LJ}(r) = E_m[-(\frac{r_m}{r})^{12} + 2(\frac{r_m}{r})^6] \quad (3)$$

The parameterization of Levitt ^[33] yields the values $E_m = -0.19$ kcal/mol at $r_m = 3.53$ Å between aliphatic carbon atoms. The minimum of the potential $V_{LJ}(r) + V_H(r)$ should be less than E_m by an amount ΔV [see Fig. 2a]. This requirement determines $V_H(0) = -1.65$ kcal/mol, which completes the specification of the hydrophobic force.

The model proposed in Eq. (2) and the physical arguments leading to the choice of parameters used can also be justified independently by making a comparison with the microscopic theory proposed by Pratt and Chandler ^[34]. The theory of Pratt and Chandler involves microscopically well-defined approximations based upon a hard-sphere treatment of fluids, and is therefore philosophically consonant with the present model (see the discussion of Fig. 1 above). Moreover, it agrees remarkably well quantitatively with subsequent computer simulations using realistic models of water (see, e.g., ^[35-37] and references cited therein).

The model of Pratt and Chandler for a hard-sphere radius of 3.5 Å (which is the minimum of the Lennard-Jones potential given above) at 25°C is compared with

the present model in Fig. 2b. The two potentials clearly agree well in terms of magnitude and range. One significant difference does, however, exist. Superimposed upon the anticipated exponential decay are a series of potential energy barriers which oscillate with a period of roughly 3 Å, essentially equal to the hard-sphere diameter of water. These barriers arise from the existence of water molecules between the separated hydrophobic pair. As the hydrophobic molecules approach one another, the intervening hydration structures will be altered, and the water molecules between the hydrophobic molecules will be forced away. The effective potential which determines the interaction must, therefore, change as the hydrophobic molecules approach one another, and the relevant energy barrier will disappear. Dynamical effects of this nature presumably occur on a picosecond time scale, while for the present study (which uses a *step size* larger than ten picoseconds) only processes on the level of nanoseconds to microseconds are relevant. The effective hydrophobic potential model Eq. (2) is intended to represent a long-time average of the entropic solvent forces active during folding, and so the short-time energy barriers are omitted. This is probably about as good as one can do at present without further assumptions or some remnant of dynamic water molecules. (This matter is discussed further in the concluding section).

It is well to note one final point. The model of Pratt and Chandler is valid in the limit of a dilute solute. Moreover, the present approach treats each hydrophobic atom as acting independently of other hydrophobic atoms. This would not be the case if significant collective hydrophobic effects were involved. However, the transfer free energy of pure hydrocarbon chains is linearly proportional to the number of carbon atoms in the chain ^[21], and the free energy of transfer is generally considered to be linearly proportional to solvent-accessible surface area, implying that collective effects (which would produce nonlinear behavior) are insignificant.

b. Definition of the potential model.

The protein studied here is sperm-whale myoglobin (1MBN), with coordinates taken from the Brookhaven Data Bank ^[38]. The protein is separated into the traditional 8 helices, with residue assignments as follows: *A*(3-18), *B*(20-35), *C*(36-42), *D*(51-57), *E*(58-77), *F*(86-94), *G*(100-118), and *H*(124-149). Each helix is viewed as a fundamental unit. All atoms in each helix are moved as a unit, and only forces between atoms in different helices are considered. A “spring” connects the final alpha carbon of each helix with the initial alpha carbon of the next helix. The spring

potential is taken to be

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{spring} &= f(r - r_0) \text{ kcal/mol} \\
 f(x) &= 5x^2 \quad x > 0 \\
 &= 1x^6 \quad x < 0
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4}$$

where r_0 is set to $3.80 + 1.35N_{res}$ angstroms, with N_{res} the number of intervening amino acid residues. As can be seen in Fig. 3, this potential allows about an angstrom of free motion about its minimum, consistent with the notion that the spring represents a segment of random coil configuration.

The computation time required to determine forces between N particles is roughly proportional to N^2 . It is therefore important to eliminate interactions whose contribution to the free energy is small. In the parameterization of Levitt^[33] used above, the interactions between carbon atoms provide the largest contributions by far. Accordingly, only interactions between helical carbon atoms are included, with the parameters above used throughout. Lennard-Jones forces between all helical carbon atoms are included. Hydrophobic forces [from Eq. (2)] between helical carbon atoms in the residues, TRP, PHE, TYR, ILE, LEU, VAL, and MET are also included. The heme group is considered as part of the F helix, and all of its carbon atoms are taken as hydrophobic.

Some electrostatic effects are included implicitly by considering the hydrophobic effect to be operative only between the residues in the above set. (This is equivalent to assuming that, for large-scale folding, the dominant contribution of electrostatic effects is to reduce or eliminate hydrophobicity). The contribution of main-chain carbon atoms to the folding pattern was also found to be negligible.

c. Solving the model: Brownian dynamics and the Langevin equation.

Numerical simulations based upon the Brownian dynamics of a Langevin equation are indicated when motions on widely separated timescales are involved^[39,40]. A protein in a water solvent is a prime example of this phenomenon. Large numbers of rapidly moving solvent molecules are present and slowly cause the protein to fold. Present computational means are insufficient for the inclusion of explicit solvent molecules, which are inherently of no direct interest. It is, therefore, appropriate to

approximate water solvent effects by the Langevin formalism in conjunction with the hydrophobic forces defined above.

The Langevin formalism is also appropriate for another reason. A system which evolves by Brownian dynamics will, in general, achieve thermal equilibrium [41,42]. In thermal equilibrium, the most probable state corresponds to the global minimum of the free energy, which here is the native state of the protein. The model protein will, therefore, always reach its native state. Langevin dynamics thus possesses a distinct advantage over methods like gradient minimization or energy-conserving molecular dynamics, neither of which is guaranteed to find the native state. Moreover, the physical derivation of the formalism suggests that it will reach the native state in a fashion similar to the way a real protein folds *in vitro*.

In the Langevin approach, solvent effects are mimicked by the inclusion of random thermal forces and viscous friction [43]. For a single particle, the Langevin equation is

$$m \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = \mathbf{F} - \alpha \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{F}'(t), \quad (5)$$

where m and \mathbf{v} are the particle mass and velocity, \mathbf{F} is the external force as derived from a potential, and \mathbf{F}' is the fluctuating thermal force. For a spherical particle of radius a in a liquid of viscosity η , Stokes' law yields $\alpha = 6\pi\eta a$. The random force \mathbf{F}' is specified by its ensemble averages:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{F}'(t) \rangle &= 0 \\ \langle F'_a(t_1) F'_b(t_2) \rangle &= 2 \delta_{ab} kT \alpha \delta(t_1 - t_2) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where T is the temperature.

Here the Langevin approach is employed to generate representative trajectories for the eight alpha helices of myoglobin. Each helix is treated as a rigid body, with three translational and three rotational degrees of freedom. Great simplification of the Langevin equations results if atoms (except hydrogen, which is ignored) are considered to be identical spheres, with equal average mass and viscosity. (This approximation should only affect the dynamics slightly and has no effect on the location of the potential minimum). Then the Langevin equation for the center-of-mass coordinate of each helix is formally identical to Eq. (5), with a suitable redefinition of variables.

The rotational kinematics of the helices is also then straightforward. Each helix possesses an angular momentum \mathbf{L} which evolves in time according to

$$\frac{d\mathbf{L}}{dt} = \boldsymbol{\tau} - \frac{\alpha}{m} \mathbf{L} + \boldsymbol{\tau}' \quad (7)$$

in the space-fixed system. Here, $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ is the torque on the helix arising from the potential-derived force, and $\boldsymbol{\tau}'$ is the torque from random thermal (solvent) motion. The ensemble averages corresponding to Eq. (6) are easily seen to be

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \boldsymbol{\tau}' \rangle &= 0 \\ \langle \tau'_a(t_1)\tau'_b(t_2) \rangle &= 2kT \frac{\alpha}{m} I_{ab} \delta(t_1 - t_2) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where I_{ab} is the inertia tensor for particles of equal mass m .

The translational degrees of freedom are easily integrated using a velocity Verlet algorithm [39], but the rotational degrees of freedom require special techniques based upon quaternionic methods [44,45]. The solvent-induced fluctuating thermal forces are calculated at each time step by a Gaussian random number generator with appropriate variance.

The usual checks of energy conservation (in the absence of thermal forces and friction) and equipartition were made. The time step size was continuously varied according to the convergence of the algorithm, with a minimum step size of about ten picoseconds. The longest time scale which could be considered is of the order of hundreds of microseconds. Although this is much larger than the 130 picosecond time scales considered in classic molecular dynamics simulations [6], it is still insufficient to probe true protein folding scales, which are in the domain of seconds to minutes. An additional artifice was therefore employed. The temperature of the system was initially set to several thousand degrees, and it was gradually cooled to 25°C. Energy barrier penetration thus occurred at a much faster rate than at normal temperatures, and the folded state was reached fairly quickly. This heating/cooling scheme resembles Monte Carlo “simulated annealing” methods in common use, but differs in that a realistic continuous folding trajectory is produced here. This trajectory might therefore be similar to the true refolding pathway of the protein.

3. Results: A time series of folding myoglobin.

Figure 4a shows a plot of the kinetic and potential energy of the model as it evolves through a typical folding sequence. Two lines are plotted, one depicting the sum of the hydrophobic and Lennard-Jones potential terms, and one which includes in addition the helix kinetic energy. Five points are singled out, beginning with the initial configuration *A* and continuing to the final point *E*. The protein configurations corresponding to these points are shown in Figs. 5a through 5e, respectively. Figure 4b shows the corresponding rms deviation (defined below), and the radius of gyration (using equal masses for all helical atoms). Both are plotted as a function of time.

In this sequence, the initial unfolded state undergoes a rapid collapse to a folded state near the native conformation. Initially, the temperature was set to 2000°C; the system was cooled to 25°C over the course of 300 nanoseconds. The viscosity parameter was adjusted to ensure optimum convergence, resulting in a thermal relaxation time of about 100 picoseconds. This run required about 10 hours on a Silicon Graphics R4000 Iris Indigo. At the end of the run, the system was reheated and recooled six times (after the fashion of simulated annealing). The protocol was as before, with the state of lowest energy saved at the end of each run. The protein settled to what appears to be the unique ground state, with the combined potential from Lennard-Jones and hydrophobic effects equal to -1000 kcal/mol. This state is drawn in Fig. 6a. For comparison, the native protein is shown in Fig. 6b. The rms difference between the two (taken over all helical nonhydrogen atoms) is 5.95 Å. The specific definition^[46,47] of rms difference is that used by Cohen, Richmond, and Richards^[4] in their classic work discussed above:

$$\overline{(\Delta r^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \left[\frac{2}{(n-1)(n-2)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (d_{ij}^{\text{exp}} - d_{ij}^{\text{obs}})^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (9)$$

wherein consideration of helix pairing sites leads to a set of 20 possible structures for myoglobin. Of this set, the structure which most resembles native myoglobin has an rms deviation of 3.62 Å from the native structure. It must be pointed out, however, that this structure was selected from the final set of 20 by visual inspection, rather than by their helix pairing criteria. The worst rms deviation of their 20 proposed structures was 7.6 Å.

An explicit comparison of the model and native structures is shown in Fig. 7. Distances between alpha carbons were determined for all helical residues in the model and native conformations. Contoured areas indicate distances of less than 13 Å. The

hydrophobic helix-helix intersection points (BE, BG, AH, FH, and GH) used in [4] to derive the structure of myoglobin are explicitly indicated. Except for the relatively unimportant FH contact, agreement is fairly good. (It should be pointed out that the CD region is fairly nonhelical, and, as expected, produces the main difference between the model and native structures.)

In order to search for other states of lower energy, five hundred other runs with very different starting configurations were performed. No states of lower energy than that in Fig. 6a were found, and the minimum energy configuration appears to be unique. Typically, there was an initial rapid inertial collapse, followed by a subsequent (slow) stochastic refinement of structure. This behavior has also been observed in lattice models of protein folding^[48]. Often, during the initial collapse phase, a helix becomes trapped between two other helices, resulting in a collapsed state of higher potential energy than the minimum. This defect generally disappears during subsequent annealing runs, and the system then relaxes to the true minimum. Interestingly enough, the inertial collapse often leads to a structure which has almost the correct topology of the native state. During the collapse, the protein dynamically tunnels through energy barriers, effectively making “choices” about which folding pattern to adopt. Some of these choices are quite poor, and lead to helices being trapped by other helices. One example of this behavior is shown in Fig. 5e, where the F helix is caught behind the H helix, and so encounters an energy barrier on the way to the folded state. As can be seen from Fig. 4a, this state is higher in energy than the minimum state by roughly 200 kcal/mol.

The *in vitro* folding of myoglobin is not yet completely understood, so a detailed comparison of the folding pathway shown here with experiment is impossible. However, some results have been reported. When the heme group is removed from native myoglobin to produce apomyoglobin, the native state is destabilized, and a stable intermediate appears in low pH-induced folding^[12]. The detailed three-dimensional structure of apoMb is not known, but it has been proposed^[13,49–51] that the A, G, and H helices fold to a structure of moderate stability which constitutes an intermediate state of the pathway of apoMb folding. Further work on apoMb^[52] finds that stabilized secondary structure appears in the A, G, and H helices as a compact folding intermediate in less than 5 ms. By contrast, refolding experiments on intact native myoglobin^[8–11] find no evidence for a stable folding intermediate. It is suggestive to compare the time series displayed in Figs. 5 (note especially Fig. 5d), where the AGH triplet reaches the topology of the native state considerably in advance of the

B-E subdomain. Nevertheless, the work reported in this paper concerns only intact native myoglobin, so such a direct comparison with apoMb, though encouraging, is tenuous.

It has also been found ^[51] that the H helix of intact myoglobin spontaneously populates helical conformations irrespective of the state of folding of the remainder of the polypeptide chain. These authors suggest that this helix can be considered an autonomous folding unit. Additionally, a G helix peptide segment, though unfolded in aqueous solution ^[51], forms an ordered helix in TFE (2,2,2-trifluoroethanol) ^[53,54]. It was proposed that in this case TFE might model the effects of stabilizing tertiary contacts.

Taken as a whole, these data are consonant with the philosophy that myoglobin folds by the coalescence of nascent metastable substructures ^[7] (e.g., “molten globules” ^[55,56]). These subunits do not possess a fixed spatial conformation, but do on average exhibit a high degree of secondary structure. As folding progresses, the substructures are stabilized by tertiary interactions and presumably become the familiar alpha helices. Most likely, the effects of this partition of configuration space manifest themselves early in the folding process. The experimental results reviewed above suggest that this reduction of the relevant degrees of freedom in the system is completed in time scales of less than a few milliseconds. It may thus be a reasonable approximation to model the nascent subunits by native alpha helices.

One important test of this philosophy can be performed in the present model. If each helix in the model forms a realistic approximation to a nascent subunit, then fluctuations expected to occur during the folding process should not cause a significant change in the final folded structure. An additional check of the model was therefore made. In a real protein, the amino acid side-chains are in constant motion. Presumably, they attain their native configurations only *after* the initial rapid collapse. It follows that an initial state with a different configuration of rotamers should fold to nearly the same state as obtained with native rotamer helices. This expectation was tested by replacing each amino acid side-chain by the most probable rotamer state and repeating the calculation. The resulting minimum is displayed in Fig. 8. As can be seen, only slight differences result. This state has Lennard-Jones plus hydrophobic potential energy equal to -933 kcal/mol, and an rms difference of 6.28 Å from the native conformation, using the same definition ^[46,47,4] as before. The “rotamer” configuration has an rms difference of 5.56 Å from the model configuration

Fig. 6a.

The model can be tested further by applying it to the protein leghemoglobin. Leghemoglobin is a protein of 153 residues, of which only 10 are common to the 153 residues of sperm-whale myoglobin. The biological origin of leghemoglobin, a single-chain plant protein which binds oxygen in legume root nodules, is also very different from that of sperm-whale myoglobin. Nevertheless, the native structure of these two proteins is noticeably similar. Application of the folding model outlined above to leghemoglobin accordingly provides an unusually stringent test of the above model, for it is probably the most dissimilar protein one can find which possesses a closely related folding pattern.

The leghemoglobin coordinates ^[57] used for this test (2LH7) were obtained from the Brookhaven Data Bank. The protein was separated into the traditional 8 helices, with residue assignments as follows: *A*(4-19), *B*(21-36), *C*(37-43), *D*(52-58), *E*(59-78), *F*(87-98), *G*(104-120), and *H*(127-153). Other details of the potential model were as before. The unique minimum energy configuration is displayed in Fig. 9a, and the native state in Fig. 9b. The minimum energy configuration had an energy of -982 kcal/mol, and an rms deviation of 5.14 Å from the native structure. The EFGH region of the minimum energy configuration is quite reasonable, and the topology of the globin fold is manifest. In a fashion similar to the case of sperm-whale myoglobin, the partly helical CD region is somewhat poorly represented. As discussed further in the next section, the model also overestimates the attractive interaction between the heme group and the pair of PHE residues 29 and 30 in the center of the B helix. This causes the B helix to be pulled in closely to the heme group and, in turn, forces an additional misalignment of the A helix. Overall, however, the helices do fold to roughly the proper locations, and so the desired “sketchbook” accuracy is obtained.

4. Conclusions.

Protein folding presents a challenge of monumental proportions. Despite the large amount of attention this problem has received, the physical principles which determine global folding patterns are largely unknown. Although sophisticated pattern recognition techniques may be useful for an initial survey of this unmapped territory, true understanding must be based upon the development and testing of physical models of large-scale folding.

A small step in this direction was taken here. The fundamental philosophy was that globin folding proceeds by the coalescence of nascent substructures, approximated here as native alpha helices. It was additionally assumed that solvent effects determine the large-scale folding of these proteins. Accordingly, a quantitative model of hydrophobic forces was developed from physical considerations of transfer free-energy data and shown to agree quantitatively with microscopic results from hard-sphere models. The model was employed here in conjunction with explicit (Lennard-Jones) van der Waals forces. Some electrostatic effects were included implicitly as well. Simulation of folding was performed by Langevin techniques crafted to reproduce closely the kinetic effects of solvent molecules, while maintaining close contact with classical molecular dynamics.

The model was applied to two proteins of radically different amino-acid sequence and biological origin: sperm-whale myoglobin and leghemoglobin. In each case, a unique minimum was found and was shown to correspond well with the topology of the native structure of the protein. The model is very economical, and thus allows an extensive search of configuration space to be performed. In order to increase the speed of computation, all carbon atoms were treated equally. This is somewhat unrealistic, for the hydrophobic interaction produced by a carbon atom in an aromatic ring must be different from that produced by a carbon atom in an alkane chain. Thus, the hydrophobic effective potential of PHE is overestimated, while that of LEU and ILE is underestimated. Nevertheless, the gross structures obtained do, in fact, have the proper topology—the helices appear in their proper relative locations. This success implies that many of the physical principles relevant for large-scale folding have been accurately captured in the model.

Once a structure with “sketchbook” accuracy is produced, it is of course possible to include more precise interactions for a further refinement of the prediction. This should be computationally feasible when folding is nearly complete and the gross structure of the protein has been obtained. The present model, however, does allow the large-scale folding process to be observed directly, which should be useful for further design of physical models and algorithmic development. In particular, the model exhibited a number of interesting phenomena supportive of the concept ^[58] that protein folding is *not* simply a uniform collapse, simultaneous on all length scales. This may be heartening news for the protein folding problem in general, for it suggests that not all interactions are relevant at all times during the folding process. It may therefore be appropriate to address protein folding by developing a hierarchy of

“effective” interactions between subunits of various sizes. It is, in a sense, equivalent to determining the correct “language” for the description of protein folding (one does not generally use subatomic physics to describe chemistry). Significant simplification could then well occur.

The physical principles which underly this point are quite clear, and can be illustrated by an elementary paradigm. Consider an initial configuration of the model where the helices are all aligned in a straight line. All eight helices will experience torques which cause them to rotate toward the configuration of least energy. The A and H helices are initially the least constrained, for each of the remaining helices is connected at both ends to other helices by a “string” of random coil. It is energetically most favorable either to move only these two helices, or else to move *groups* of helices which include either the A or H helix. (This argument also suggests that refolding for an alpha-helix protein like myoglobin should begin at the ends of the molecule, which might partly explain the early importance of the A, G, and H helices in refolding processes ^[49–54]). As a consequence, typically groups of several helices must move together as subunits. Collective coordinates even larger than alpha helices therefore are important role at certain stages of the folding process, and their appearance offers additional validation of the idea that the identification of relevant large-scale degrees of freedom (such as the alpha helices taken here as a basis set) is important in protein folding. If this spontaneous reduction of the degrees of freedom in the system is a general physical principle, it could provide one possible resolution to the Levinthal paradox ^[2] mentioned in the Introduction. Thus, if the number of physically relevant degrees of freedom in the system is far fewer than those present in the entire configuration space, a considerably faster search for the folded state is implied. This simplification is also useful computationally, for it suggests that the speed of folding algorithms may generally be improved by the inclusion of moves of collective coordinates, of which nascent alpha helices are only one example.

Another useful point is the clear existence ^[48] of two stages in folding—an inertial collapse phase followed by stochastic refinement of structure. This separation suggests that substantial inertial effects should be included in a computationally effective protein folding algorithm. Indeed, when the present Langevin methodology (which includes dynamical inertial effects) was compared with standard Monte Carlo simulated annealing, it was found to be quite superior in performance. This difference in performance persisted even when the step size for individual Monte Carlo moves was allowed to vary dynamically so as to ensure an acceptance rate of about one

half, in accordance with standard techniques of simulated annealing. The need for alternatives to pure canonical ensemble Monte Carlo methods in molecular simulations [59,60,35] and when short-range forces lead to large-scale collective effects [61] is well-known.

Since the present algorithm is based upon classical molecular dynamics, it uses a real physical time coordinate. Thus the time taken for various subprocesses can be estimated from observation of the folding process. Observation times are computationally limited at present to microsecond time scales, which requires unphysically high temperatures to be used in the slow “stochastic” second phase of folding. However, the initial rapid inertial collapse was generally visible, even at room temperature. This suggests that the initial collapse phase typically occurs in a microsecond time scale, which is also the time scale at which alpha helices form and disappear [62,63]. Therefore the inertial collapse occurs at the same time that the alpha helices themselves are becoming stable. One implication of this result is that alpha helices become a significant part of the protein folding pathway before they are actually stable entities. As has been pointed out previously [63], there is no meaningful threshold of stability for alpha helices to become relevant in folding.

One of the virtues of the present model is its economy, which permits an extensive search of configuration space to be made with present computational architecture. Although more detailed models of the effective hydrophobic interaction can be constructed (see, e.g., [64]), their complexity is such that the accessible time scales are typically of the order of hundreds of picoseconds. Explicit inclusion of water solvent molecules reduces this accessible timeframe further, by another factor of twenty [64]. The philosophy presented here allows effects whose natural timescale is of the order of tens to hundreds of microseconds to be considered, albeit with generally less precision. The accessible timescale is, however, increased by a factor larger than a million.

A natural question to ask, therefore, is whether the model can be simplified further. One attempt in this direction was tried. The attractive portion of the Lennard-Jones potential was removed, and the coefficient of the hard-core repulsion was varied to give different values of the minimum hard-sphere distance of the potential (which is then the only parameter remaining, aside from the size of water used as the decay length). The resulting model is then probably as simple as can be conceived, for a repulsive part of the potential is required to prevent the helices from collapsing into one another, while an attractive force is needed to drive the folding process. Rea-

sonable folded structures could be obtained when this minimum value was taken to be 4.0 Å, which occurred when the repulsive part of the potential was the same as employed in the full model. The rms value so obtained was 6.07 Å, to be compared with 6.59 Å and 6.61 Å reached for potential minima of 3.5 Å and 4.5 Å respectively. Values of the minimum significantly less than 4.0 Å led to overly compact structures, while larger values produced structures which were too extended.

The fact that somewhat plausible structures can be obtained when the only force driving folding is the hydrophobic effect provides *quantitative* confirmation of the idea that it is this effect which determines large-scale globin folding patterns. There is a philosophical inconsistency in removing the attractive part of the Lennard-Jones potential, however, for the hard-sphere radius of a molecule is typically taken as the location of the minimum of this potential. When the attractive part of the Lennard-Jones force is eliminated, the Lennard-Jones potential then has no minimum, and the hard-core radius is undefined. To prevent collapse, the attractive hydrophobic force must be balanced by an arbitrarily chosen hard-core repulsion. The hard-core repulsion then must be parameterized and determined by observing the folding of myoglobin or some similar process. By contrast, the hydrophobic potential model applied in this work was derived from physical arguments, independently of knowledge of the structure of myoglobin. The recognizable structure so obtained accordingly suggests that these arguments have a fair degree of validity.

In summary, then, the purpose of this work is not to attain the most *precise* depiction of folding possible, but rather to attempt to learn the most *useful* language in which to describe the process. With few exceptions, all useful descriptions of complex systems involve judicious approximations. So it is here. A careful attempt was made to develop an accurate physical model of the most important effects which determine folding patterns. Rather than taking an intricate potential function and determining its parameters empirically (ultimately equivalent to a form of pattern recognition), the goal of logical simplicity was sought throughout. The result is a tractable model of protein folding based upon sound physical principles which, nevertheless, captures many of the essential features of more detailed models. Extension of the present formalism to other proteins should, therefore, be of some utility in the systematic extraction of the physical principles which determine large-scale folding patterns.

Acknowledgements.

It is a pleasure to thank S.K. Burley, B. Chait, K. Clark, M.D. Doyle, N.N. Khuri, B. Knight, J. Kuriyan, J. Lederberg, M. Levitt, P. Model and D. Zwanziger for useful discussions and technical assistance. This manuscript was typeset in LaTeX by Toni Weil.

References

1. Anfinsen, C.B.: Principles that govern the folding of protein chains. *Science* 181:223-230, 1973.
2. Levinthal, C.: Are there pathways for protein folding? *J. Chim. Phys.* 65:44-45, 1968.
3. Ptitsyn, O.B., Rashin, A.A.: A model of myoglobin self-organization. *Biophys. Chem.* 3:1-20, 1975.
4. Cohen, F.E., Richmond, T.J., Richards, F.M.: Protein folding: Evaluation of some simple rules for the assembly of helices into tertiary structure with myoglobin as an example. *J. Mol. Biol.* 132:275-288, 1979.
5. Saito, N., Shigaki, T., Kobayashi, Y., Yamamoto, M.: Mechanisms of protein folding: I. General considerations and refolding of myoglobin. *Proteins* 3:199-207, 1988.
6. Kuczera, K., Kuriyan, J., Karplus, M.: Temperature dependence of the structure and dynamics of myoglobin: A simulation approach. *J. Mol. Biol.* 213:351-373, 1990.
7. Bashford, D., Cohen, F.E., Karplus, M., Kuntz, I.D., Weaver, D.L.: Diffusion-collision model for the folding kinetics of myoglobin. *Proteins* 8:211-227, 1988.
8. Acampora, G. and Hermans, J.: Reversible denaturation of sperm-whale myoglobin. I. Dependence on temperature, pH, and composition. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 89: 1543-1547, 1967. II. Thermodynamic analysis. *ibid.* 1547-1552.
9. Shen, L.L., and Hermans, J.: Kinetics of conformation changes of sperm-whale myoglobin. I. Folding and unfolding of metmyoglobin following pH jump. *Biochemistry* 11: 1836-1841, 1972.
10. Puett, D.: The equilibrium unfolding parameters of horse and sperm-whale myoglobin. *J. Biol. Chem.* 247: 4623-4634, 1973.

11. Privalov, P.L., Griko, Yu. V., Venyaminov, S. Yu., Kutysenko, V.P.: Cold denaturation of myoglobin. *J. Mol. Biol.* 190:487-498, 1986.
12. Griko, Yu. V., Privalov, P.L., Venyaminov, S. Yu., Kutysenko, V.P.: Thermodynamic study of the apomyoglobin structure. *J. Mol. Biol.* 202:127-138, 1988.
13. Hughson, F.M., and Baldwin, R.L.: Use of site-directed mutagenesis to destabilize native apomyoglobin relative to folding intermediates. *Biochemistry* 28:4415-4422, 1989.
14. Dill, K.A.: Dominant forces in protein folding. *Biochemistry* 29:7133-7155, 1990.
15. Nicholls, A., Sharp, K.A., Honig, B.: Protein folding and association: Insights from the interfacial and thermodynamic properties of hydrocarbons. *Proteins*. 11:281-296, 1991.
16. Chothia, C.H.: Hydrophobic bonding and accessible surface area in proteins. *Nature* 248:338-339, 1974.
17. Nozaki, Y. and Tanford, C.: The solubility of amino acids and two glycine peptides in aqueous ethanol and dioxane solutions. Establishment of a hydrophobicity scale. *J. Biol. Chem.* 246:2211-2217, 1971.
18. Zimmerman, J.M., Eliezer, N., Simha, R.: The characterization of amino acid sequences in proteins by statistical methods. *J. Theoret. Biol.* 21:170-201, 1968.
19. Jones, D.D.: Amino acid properties and side-chain orientation in proteins: A cross correlation approach. *J. Theor. Biol.* 50:167-183, 1975.
20. Wolfenden, R., Andersson, L., Cullis, P.M., Southgate, C.C.B.: Affinities of amino acid side chains for solvent water. *Biochemistry* 20:849-855, 1981.
21. Sharp, K.A., Nicholls, A., Friedman, R., Honig, B.: Extracting hydrophobic free energies from experimental data: Relationship to protein folding and theoretical models. *Biochemistry* 30:9686-9697, 1991.

22. Israelachvili, J. and Pashley, R.: The hydrophobic interaction is long range, decaying exponentially with distance. *Nature* 300:341-342, 1982.
23. Claesson, P.M., and Christenson, H.K.: Very long range attractive forces between uncharged hydrocarbon and fluorocarbon surfaces in water. *J. Phys. Chem.* 92:1650-1655, 1988.
24. Christenson, H.K., and Claesson, P.M.: Cavitation and the interaction between macroscopic hydrophobic surfaces. *Science* 239:390-392, 1988.
25. Podgornik, R. and Parsegian, V.A.: An electrostatic- surface stability interpretation of the "hydrophobic" force inferred to occur between mica plates in solutions of soluble surfactants. *Chem. Phys.* 154:477-483, 1991.
26. Dickerson, R.E., and Geis, I.: "Hemoglobin: Structure, Function, Evolution, and Pathology": Benjamin/Cummings, 1983.
27. Eisenberg, D., and Kauzmann, D.: "The Structure and Properties of Water". Oxford, 1979.
28. Franks, F. (ed.): "Water – A Comprehensive Treatise". Plenum Press, New York, 1972.
29. Stillinger, F.H.: Water revisited. *Science* 209:451-457, 1980.
30. Ben-Naim, A.: "Hydrophobic Interactions". Plenum Press, New York, 1980.
31. Tanford, C. "The Hydrophobic Effect". 2nd ed. Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1980.
32. Cantor, D.R., Schimmel, P.R.: "Biophysical Chemistry, part II. Techniques for the Study of Biological Structure and Function". W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1980. p. 555.
33. Levitt, M.: Energy refinement of hen egg-white lysozyme. *J. Mol. Biol.* 82:393-420, 1974.
34. Pratt, L. R., Chandler, D.: Theory of the hydrophobic effect. *J. Chem. Phys.* 67:3683-3704, 1977.

35. Pangali, C., Rao, M., Berne, B.J.: Monte Carlo simulation of the hydrophobic interaction. *J. Chem. Phys.* 71: 2975-2981, 1979.
36. Jorgensen, W., Buckner, K., Boudon, S., Tirado-Reves, J.: Efficient computation of absolute free energies of binding by computer simulations. Application to the methane dimer in water. *J. Chem. Phys.* 89:3742-3746, 1988.
37. Smith, D., Haymet, A.D.J.: Free energy, entropy, and internal energy of hydrophobic interactions: Computer simulations. *J. Chem. Phys.* 98:6445-6454, 1993.
38. Watson, H.C.: The stereochemistry of the protein myoglobin. *Prog. stereochem.* 4:299, 1969.
39. Allen, M.P., Tildesley, D.J.: "Computer Simulation of Liquids". Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991.
40. McCammon, J.A., Harvey, S.C.: "Dynamics of Proteins and Nucleic Acids". Cambridge, 1988.
41. Arnold L., Lefever, R.: "Stochastic Nonlinear Systems in Physics, Chemistry, and Biology". Springer Series in Synergetics, v.8. Springer-Verlag, 1981.
42. Gardiner, C.W.: "Handbook of Stochastic Methods for Physics, Chemistry, and the Natural Sciences". Springer Series in Synergetics, v. 13. Springer-Verlag, 1983.
43. Wax, N.: "Selected Papers on Noise and Stochastic Processes". Dover, New York, 1954.
44. Evans, D.J., Murad, S.: Singularity-free algorithm for molecular-dynamics simulation of rigid polyatomics. *Mol. Phys.* 34:327-331, 1977.
45. Potter, D.: "Computational Physics". Wiley, New York, 1972.
46. Nishikawa, A., Ooi, T., Isogai, Y., Saito, N.: Tertiary structure of proteins. I. Representation and computation of the conformation. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 32:1331-1337, 1972.

47. Levitt, M.: A simplified representation of protein conformations for rapid simulation of protein folding. *J. Mol. Biol.* 104:59-107, 1976.
48. Shakhovich, E., Farztdinov, G., Gutin, A.M., Karplus, M.: Protein folding bottlenecks: A lattice Monte Carlo simulation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 12:1665-1668, 1991.
49. Hughson, F.M., Barrick, D., Baldwin, R.L.: Probing the stability of a partly folded apomyoglobin intermediate by site-directed mutagenesis. *Biochemistry* 30: 4113-4118, 1991.
50. Hughson, F.M., Wright, P.E., Baldwin, R.L.: Structural characterization of a partly folded apomyoglobin intermediate. *Science* 249: 1544-1548, 1990.
51. Waltho, J.P., Feher, V.A., Merutka, G., Dyson, H.J., Wright, P.E.: Peptide models of protein folding initiation sites. 1. Secondary structure formation by peptides corresponding to the G- and H- helices of myoglobin. *Biochemistry* 32: 6337-6347, 1993.
52. Jennings, P.A., Wright, P.E.: Formation of a molten globule intermediate early in the kinetic folding pathway of apomyoglobin. *Science* 262: 892-896, 1993.
53. Shin, H.C., Merutka, G., Waltho, J.P., Wright, P.E., Dyson, H.J.: Peptide models of protein folding initiation sites. 2. The G-H turn region of myoglobin acts as a helix stop signal. *Biochemistry* 32: 6348-6355, 1993.
54. Shin, H.C., Merutka, G., Waltho, J.P., Tennant, L.L., Dyson, H.J., Wright, P.E.: Peptide models of protein folding initiation sites. 3. The G-H helical hairpin turn of myoglobin. *Biochemistry* 32: 6356-6364, 1993.
55. Ptitsyn, O.B.: Protein folding: Hypotheses and experiments. *J. Protein Chem.* 6: 273-293, 1987.
56. Kuwajima, K.: The molten globule state as a clue for understanding the folding and cooperativity of globular-protein structure. *Proteins* 6: 87-103, 1989.

57. Arutyunyan, E.G., Kuranova, I.P., Vainshtein, B.K., Steigemann, W.: Structural investigation of leghemoglobin. VI. Structure of acetate-ferrileghemoglobin at a resolution of 2.0 angstroms. (Russian) *Kristallografiya* 25: 80, 1980.
58. Jaenicke, R.: Protein folding: Local structures, domains, subunits, and assemblies. *Biochemistry* 30: 3147-3161, 1991.
59. Pangali, C., Rao, M., Berne, B.J.: On a novel Monte Carlo scheme for simulating water and aqueous solutions. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 55:413-417, 1978.
60. Rao, M., Pangali, C., Berne, B.J.: On the force bias Monte Carlo simulation of water: Methodology, optimization and comparison with molecular dynamics. *Mol. Phys.* 37:1773-1798, 1979.
61. Callaway, D.J.E., Rahman, A.: Microcanonical ensemble formulation of lattice gauge theory. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 49: 613-616, 1982.
62. Gruenewald, B., Nicola, C., Lustig, A., Schwarz, G., Klump, H.: Kinetics of the helix-coil transition of a polypeptide with non-ionic side groups derived from ultrasonic relaxation measurements. *Biophys. Chem.* 9:137-147, 1979.
63. Creighton, T.E.: Protein Folding. *Biochem. J.* 270:1-16, 1990.
64. Stouten, P.F.W., Frommel, C., Nakamura, H., Sander, C.: An effective solvation term based on atomic occupancies for use in protein simulations. *Mol. Sim.* 10:97-120, 1993.
65. Warshel, A., Levitt, M.: Folding and stability of helical proteins: Carpmogen. *J. Mol. Biol.* 106:421-437.
66. Levitt, M., Warshel, A.: Computer simulation of protein folding. *Nature* 253:694-698.

Figure Captions.

Fig. 1a.

Plot of accessible surface area (\AA^2) versus hydrophobicity (kcal/mol) for various amino acid residues. Lines of slope $26 \text{ cal/mol} \cdot \text{\AA}^2$ and $22 \text{ cal/mol} \cdot \text{\AA}^2$ are also shown. Adapted from Chothia [16].

Fig. 1b.

The same data [17] as Fig. 1b plotted versus the number of carbon atoms in the residue side-chain. The line has a slope of $321 \text{ cal/mol} \cdot \text{carbon}$.

Fig. 2a.

Solid line displays Lennard-Jones potential $V_{LJ}(r)$ from Eq. (3). Dashed line is sum of $V_{LJ}(r)$ and $V_H(r)$. Potential minima differ by 500 cal/mol (see text).

Fig. 2b.

Solid line shows the potential of mean force derived by Pratt and Chandler [34] for a hard-sphere radius of 3.5 \AA . Dashed line shows the hydrophobic effective potential $V_H(r)$ proposed here. Energy is plotted in units of RT at 25°C , distance in \AA .

Fig. 3.

Potential function $f(x)$ (kcal/mol) versus x (\AA) from Eq. 4.

Fig. 4a.

Lower line is a plot of the sum of the hydrophobic and Lennard-Jones potential terms (kcal/mol) given in the text versus time (nanoseconds). Upper line includes helix kinetic energy. In addition to the initial state A and the final state E, the points B, C, and D are labelled.

Fig. 4b.

Plots of rms distance from the native protein (as defined in the text) and radius of gyration versus time (nanoseconds), corresponding to Fig. 4a. The plots are labelled “rms” and “gyr” respectively.

Figs. 5a-e.

Myoglobin configurations corresponding to points labelled A through E in Fig. 4. Helices are drawn as cylinders along major axes of inertia tensors. Heme unit carbon atoms drawn as spheres, central (larger) atom is iron. Helix and atomic radii not to scale.

Fig. 6a.

Unique folded configuration produced by the model, drawn as per Figs. 5.

Fig. 6b.

Native myoglobin, drawn as per Figs. 5.

Fig. 7.

Plot of distances between alpha carbons of helical residues. Axes indicate residue numbers. Contoured areas indicate distances less than 13 Å. Lower half is native conformation (Fig. 6b), upper half is model (Fig. 6a). Hydrophobic contact zones (BG, etc.) of Ref. [4] labelled as shown.

Fig. 8.

Folded “rotamer” state, drawn as per Figs. 5.

Fig. 9a.

Unique folded configuration of leghemoglobin produced by the model, drawn as per Figs. 5.

Fig. 9b.

Native leghemoglobin, drawn as per Figs. 5.